

Annual Course Report

(Computer operations)

A 7	_	•	•	•		4 •
A -	หล	SIC	In	tor	ma	tion

1 Title and Code Computer operations - CSE 161

2 Program(s) on which this course is given CSE

3 Academic year / Level of program 1st year / 1st Semester

4 Units/Weekly hours

Lecture 2 Tutorial/Practical 3 Total 5

5 Names of lecturers contributing to the delivery of the course

Dr. Gamal M. Attiya

Course coordinator: Dr. Gamal M. Attiya **External evaluators**: Prof. Fawzy Tork

B- Statistical Information

No. of students attending the course: No. 260 100%

No. of students completing the course: No. 260 100%

Results:

Passed: No. | 248 | | 95.3% | Failed: No. | 12 | | 4.23%

Grading of successful students:

Excellent: No. 92 35.38% Very Good: No. 48 18.5%

Good: No. 30 11.5% Pass: No. 78 30%

Professional Information

Content Topics	Week	A- Knowledge & Understanding	B- Intellectual skills	C- Professional and practical skills	D- General and transferable skills
Introduction to data	1	a2			d4
Structures - Basic					
Data Structures -					
Abstract Data types					
Structures and	2-3	a2, a5	b2	c 1	d1, d4
Arrays					
Pointers, Memory	4-6	a5, a13	b2, b3	c15	d1, d4
management and					
Linked Lists					
Stack and Queue	7	a8, a13	b2, b8	c15	d1, d4
Sorting Algorithms	9	a5, a8	b3, b8	c15	d4, d9
Searching	10-11	a2, a8	b2, b3	c15	d1, d9
Algorithms					
Recursive	12	a2, a13	b3, b8	c1, c15	d4, d9
Algorithms and					
Complexity					
Analysis					
Introduction to	13	a5, a13	b2, b8	c15	d1, d4
versions and					
characteristics of					
Windows, GUI and					
Visual C++					
Programming.					
Introduction to	14	a15	b8	c1	d9
object-oriented					
programming in					
C++					

1. Course Teaching

Topics taught as a percentage of the content specified:							
	<u>>90 %</u>		70-90 %	√	<70%		
2.	Teaching and Learning Methods:						
	Lecture	s:			1		

Lectures.	٦
Practical Training/ Laboratory:	
Seminar/Workshop:	
Class Activity:	J

	Case Study:	J
		S
3.	Student Assessment:	
	Method of Assessment	Percentage of total
	Class tutorial and quizzes:	5 %
	Mid-term examination:	10 %
	Case study and/or practical exam:	10 %
	Final – term examination:	70 %
	Other types of assessment:	5 %
	Total	100 %
	Members of Examination Committee Prof. Dr. Nawa Ahmed El-Fishawy Dr. Ahmed Shoman Role of external evaluator: The external evaluator was not effect assessment in the last academic year.	ively involved in the student examination
4.	Facilities and Teaching Materials:	
	Totally adequate	
	Adequate to some extent	√ I
	Inadequate	
5.	Administrative Constraints	
	• Insufficient class room.	
6.	Student Evaluation of the course:	Response of Course Team
- I	Lack of practice problems and exercises	- An extra exercises and solved problems are added to the Tutorial of the course.
7.	Comments from external evaluator(s):	

Response has not received.

8. Action Plan for Academic Year 2012 – 2013

Improvement Field	Weak points	Action required	Person Responsible	Completion Date
Assessment Methods	No feedback of the examination to the students, so exams can not be used for learning.	- During course design the assessment methods suitable for each ILOs must be specified and these methods must be strictly followed by examiners Formative exams during the term with a feedback to the students, so these examinations can be used as a method of learning.	- Faculty - Department	2013
Quality of Teaching and Learning	Students attendance at lecture are not very good	- Engagement of students from different academic years to the curriculum development	- Faculty - Department	2013
Learning resources	- The system of maintenance and repair is not flexible enough.	- Maintain and repair the present equipments	- University - Faculty	2013
Course content	Gap between up-to-date information and reference text books	add more examples.add small task projects mainly for real world problem solving.	Course coordinator	2013

Course	Coordinator:	\mathbf{Dr}	Camal	M	Attiva
Course	Coordinator:	и.	CTallia	LIVI	Aura

Signature:

Date: / /